


OCTOBER 3, 2026 / READING EDITION

# Keep moving *forward.*



## What carries the work?

A source-backed account of September 27 - October 3.

OCTOBER 3 / THE READING COPY

# What carries the work?

The week produced things worth carrying: a recoverable archive, a tested family conversation, an executable that responded to real browser controls, and a public page that passed a phone-width browser check. It also exposed the distance between a working part and a service someone else can depend on. Both belong in this edition.

This edition reads the week through preserved artifacts and Phil's account. Each finding keeps its source and its limit. The full essay, visual exhibits and evidence notes are included in this file.

2,302 words in the main essay. About 11 minutes, plus the source notes.

Source files captured on October 3. Hosted checks keep their original times; no new live check or product test run was performed for this edition.

## THE READING ROUTE

# Ten parts. One continuing question.

01 / The work moved. The burden remained.

02 / Sunday had already asked the question.

03 / Some of the work learned to survive.

04 / An actual executable. Three actual actions.

05 / The page arrived. The whole chain did not.

06 / 3,589 passes. Still a failed gate.

07 / Who carries the loose ends?

08 / The mission needs somewhere to live.

09 / The edition already had a beginning.

10 / Make the handoff ordinary.

THE WEEK / FIVE RECEIPTS

# Give the progress its proper scope.

RECOVERY RECEIPT

## 4 / 65

Cases / files restored

October 1 archive, isolated restore and offsite readback. Integrity reported OK.

Sources: S05

LOCAL CANDIDATE

## 107

Memorial tests passed

One skip; 33 passing subtests. Local synthetic candidate. Seven further focused checks are recorded separately.

Sources: S03 · S04

## NATIVE REHEARSAL

# 3 actions

Select. Advance. Clear.

Actual Windows executable, synthetic service. Ten recorded commands exited 0; 39 evidence artifacts.

Sources: S06 · S07

## HOSTED OBSERVATION

# 17

Public service entries

Anonymous page and original PDF verified; phone-width layout checked. Observation: October 3, 08:36 EDT.

Sources: S08

**UNRESOLVED**

# 1 + 1

## Failure + setup error

Alongside 3,589 main-suite passes. Exact ee950c74  
field-delivery candidate: full gate failed.

Sources: **S11** · **S12** · **S13**

## SELECTED MILESTONES

# The record of the week.

SEP 27

## After Moisson

The retained field note describes interrupted streaming and several production roles competing for one operator. A repeatable service becomes the next milestone.

Sources: S01

OCT 01

## Preserve the work

Four cases and 65 files survive archive and restore. A separate family-conversation candidate completes a synthetic approval and export journey.

Sources: S02 · S05

**OCT 03 / NATIVE**

## The executable responds

A third native rehearsal closes successfully: Select, Next and Clear agree with rendered state. Earlier failed attempts remain in the record.

Sources: **S06** · **S07**

**OCT 03 / PUBLIC**

## A page reaches people

Anonymous program access and PDF retrieval pass. A subsequent watch-link check passes; playback and lyrics completion remain separate questions.

Sources: **S08** · **S09** · **S10**

**OCT 03 / GATE**

## The limit stays visible

The field-delivery main suite terminates after 56 minutes with one failure and one setup error. A high pass count does not erase that result.

Sources: **S11** · **S12** · **S13**

## 01 / THE WEEK'S ACTUAL SHAPE

# The work moved. The burden remained.

There is enough evidence here to take the progress seriously. There is also enough evidence to resist calling the whole operation dependable.

Sources: S03 · S05 · S06 · S08 · S09 · S14

The strongest recap of this week begins with objects. A preserved archive. A regression log. A native executable. A rendered projector cue. A public page. A terminal failure report. These give us something firmer than a collection of encouraging updates: they show what happened, which version it happened on, and where the result stops.

The October 1 archive receipt records four cases and 65 files restored with matching archive hashes and an integrity result of OK. The family portal candidate has a terminal log with 107 passing tests. The October 3 native rehearsal records the actual executable responding to three operator actions. A separate hosted readback verifies 17 service entries and a downloadable program without a signed-in session.

Those are different achievements. Their counts cannot be added into a readiness score. Recovering files does not establish that someone is answering a family. A native cue change does not establish an image on the sanctuary screen. An accessible watch link does not establish that a remote person heard the service.

The editorial conclusion is that substantial capability became tangible this week, while several connections between capabilities remained open. That is a more useful account than either declaring a complete system or dismissing the week as failure. It also explains why progress and exhaustion could coexist.

*A completed part is evidence. A dependable service requires the connections too.*

02 / SEPTEMBER 27 / INHERITANCE

# Sunday had already asked the question.

October 3 sharpened a question that was already present in the retained After Moisson field note.

Sources: S01

The existing Edition 004 source describes a September 27 service where the planned remote video appearance did not happen and streaming suffered interruptions. Camera direction, projection, graphics, troubleshooting and coordination competed for the same operator's attention. The page attributes those observations to Phil's firsthand account; this edition keeps that attribution.

That same note preserves tangible work: a twelve-page program review edition with editable source, a reachable public program and access-request page, and a local promotional display that cycled every 36 seconds. It explicitly distinguishes an access request from completed onboarding and a local display from an always-on public channel.

The next milestone written there was a repeatable Sunday: a dependable viewing destination, a usable program, complete onboarding, and an operating handoff someone else could follow. The October 3 discussion does not need to invent a new mission. It gives the existing one another real-world test.

The claim here is deliberately narrow. This is a reading of the retained field note, not a fresh replay of September 27 or an independent measurement of each incident. Its value is continuity: the earlier lesson remains present when the next service exposes related pressure.

## 03 / OCTOBER 1 / CUSTODY AND CONVERSATION

# Some of the work learned to survive.

Recovery is one place where continuity became concrete enough to check.

Sources: [S02](#) · [S03](#) · [S04](#) · [S05](#)

The October 1 backup receipt reports four cases and 65 files archived and restored into isolation, with the same archive SHA-256, integrity OK, and offsite readback verified. Two practice editions were checked. The receipt reports no live-data mutation or retention change. Those are useful recovery facts, with a specific source and destination behind them.

Alongside that work, a local candidate added a private conversation to the existing memorial case. Its documented synthetic journey includes setup, editor sign-in, source wording and a fixture photo, a family question, an authorized reply, a four-page PDF, correction, owner approval, export, and restart with identical export.

The retained regression log finishes with 107 passed, one skipped and 33 passing subtests. A separate focused log finishes with seven passes. These are separate runs; this edition does not claim 114 unique cases. The candidate kept messages distinct from wording changes, approval and publication. A conversation could continue without silently changing the approved artifact.

The same continuity document records zero intervening Phil actions inside the modeled journey. That is promising software evidence. It is not a measured reduction in real-family labor or response time. The candidate was not enabled on the live family site in that record, and the church liaison still needed to be identified. The software path had advanced further than the staffed operation.

*The files could survive. The response still needed an owner.*

04 / OCTOBER 3 / NATIVE PROOF

# An actual executable. Three actual actions.

The native rehearsal deserves credit for exactly what it demonstrated.

Sources: [S06](#) · [S07](#) · [S18](#)

At source 9e578e7a, the uninstalled ChurchOS.exe ran against a labeled synthetic service in a separate private runtime. The browser selected the first cue, advanced to the next one, and cleared it. The handoff reports agreement between current-page data and the actual rendered projector lines and state at each step.

The scoped receipt records ten commands exiting 0, 39 evidence artifacts, no recorded browser errors or external requests, and unchanged tracked source. The owned native processes closed with exit 0; the unique synthetic credential and temporary private runtime state were removed.

The successful third run did not rewrite the first two. One retained an original browser timeout. Another retained overlapping HTTP and browser writers, with

Clear unproven. Keeping these attempts separate makes the successful result intelligible instead of turning the whole sequence into a vague green check.

The boundary is equally concrete: no approved church enrollment, real service, hosted delivery, camera, audio, stream or sanctuary hardware was exercised. The fixture's PDF bytes were labeled stubs. This demonstrates interactive behavior in the executable; the physical service still requires its own rehearsal.



Preserved native-rehearsal capture: the synthetic next cue. This is software output, not a photograph of the sanctuary. Source S18.

## 05 / OCTOBER 3 / THE PUBLIC SURFACE

# The page arrived. The whole chain did not.

There is an important correction to the earlier story: by the retained October 3 readback, a public memorial page was working.

Sources: [S08](#) · [S09](#) · [S10](#)

At 08:36 EDT, the preserved anonymous browser check passed against source [ec3ed89a](#). It found 17 order-of-service entries, verified the original PDF download, and recorded no horizontal overflow or script errors. The PDF was 289,455 bytes and its hash was checked. This is evidence of a delivered public surface, rather than merely a prepared local preview.

At 08:53 EDT, a second readback confirmed the YouTube watch link, unchanged original PDF, retained service entries, and a phone layout without overflow. It explicitly left YouTube playback unverified. A link being installed establishes navigation; it does not establish successful viewing or audio at the other end.

At 09:48 EDT, the preserved hosted lyrics check had an overall FAIL result and `all_stanzas_visible` was false. Its other checks retained the service order, watch link, source identity and downloadable program. This is a specific incomplete feature inside an otherwise reachable page, rather than evidence that the entire page was unavailable.

These observations have timestamps because the work was changing quickly. They are not a promise about what the live page contains at the moment you read this. They also do not authorize reuse of the family's material in a company story. This reading copy carries technical facts and service-level lessons, without reproducing names, portraits, obituary text, lyrics or private messages.

## 06 / OCTOBER 3 / THE INCONVENIENT RESULT

# 3,589 passes. Still a failed gate.

The terminal result is one of the clearest pieces of evidence in the week because it resists a flattering summary.

Sources: [S06](#) · [S08](#) · [S11](#) · [S12](#) · [S13](#) · [S14](#)

The ee950c74 field-delivery candidate's main suite finished in 3,364.57 seconds: about 56 minutes. Its log reports 3,589 passed, one failed test, one setup error, 95 skipped, one expected failure, and 501 passing subtests. The main process exited 1. The aggregate result says `full_green: false`.

Both nonpassing cases raised Windows access-denied errors while replacing a staged document directory. One occurred during setup for a delayed-receipt test; the other during a two-planner collision scenario. The preserved trace note leaves the source-versus-environment cause unclassified. Calling them harmless, flaky, or confirmed product regressions would go beyond the evidence read here.

Other checks did succeed: packaging exited 0, the import-closure check passed, and nine folded-program tests passed. The predecessor comparison was green. Those facts help localize the result, but none converts this exact candidate's failed full gate into a pass.

This is why source-bound receipts matter. The native rehearsal used 9e578e7a. The observed public page used ec3ed89a. This full gate used ee950c74. They are related work, but they are not interchangeable versions. A dependable recap carries the source identity with each claim and leaves the unresolved result visible.

*Progress can be substantial  
while the release decision  
remains closed.*

07 / OCTOBER 3 / PHIL'S ACCOUNT

# Who carries the loose ends?

The human account explains why a list of technical achievements cannot describe the entire week.

Sources: **S19**

In this conversation, Phil brought an account of family communication reaching him at 4 AM, several facilities and media responsibilities converging on him, and no visible dependable handoff making his presence unnecessary. He also described a requested song being displaced by a decision in the room. These are supplied observations, not findings from an independent incident investigation.

The legitimate question is what the operation expected to happen in his absence. The available account does not establish what every other person knew, intended, or would have done. It does establish the problem Phil was experiencing: he could not rely on a clear handoff and kept becoming the person who resolved uncertainty.

The song disagreement adds a distinct issue. Preserving a request is only part of the job. People need to know whose decision governs, what discretion an operator has, and who resolves a disagreement in time. Software can surface those facts and record an exception. The people in the room still have to honor the arrangement.

A useful dependency ledger would capture the expected owner, acknowledgment, due time, actual intervention, and remaining question. It would preserve observed facts separately from inference. No such new ledger was implemented in this conversation. It emerged as a requirement because an outcome can succeed while depending on effort the final status never shows.

*What was supposed to happen if Phil wasn't there?*

## 08 / AGENTS / THE SAME QUESTION ELSEWHERE

# The mission needs somewhere to live.

Phil's Dot complaint was about the burden left on the operator. Product documentation could not settle that experience.

Sources: S15 · S16 · S19

The conversation distinguished recalling context from carrying ongoing work. An agent can produce useful output yet still require its user to reconcile tasks, repeat decisions and explain which version is current. Phil's report is an experience account; it is not a controlled comparison or a claim that every Dot user encounters the same behavior.

The TCO material shows a concrete attempt to address that class of problem. The conductor has an entry page and a generated current-state projection, with links to preserved results and explicit limits. The projection identifies its generation time and warns that it can become stale. A newer terminal result needs to govern the specific run even when an older summary still says it is awaiting verification.

The needed operating state is fairly plain: the objective, the accepted decisions, the active owner, the current source, the evidence, the open dependencies and the next authorized step. Workers need a relevant view of those facts, then a reliable way to return changes. More conversation history alone does not establish that reconciliation.

TCO must meet that standard too. The existence of a conductor folder, a projection generator, or a set of receipts does not prove that every worker follows it or that Phil has stopped supplying the connections. This week offers working pieces and visible counterexamples. The next proof is another person or agent continuing accurately without Phil rebuilding the situation for them.

## 09 / STUDIO / CARRYING THE FORM FORWARD

# The edition already had a beginning.

Keep Moving Forward was already the title. This week gives it a more demanding meaning.


Sources: [S01](#) · [S17](#)

The retained site links Edition 001, A Sunday, issued; Edition 002, Work, kept; and Edition 003, It takes shape. Edition 004 carries forward ChurchOS, the Studio and TCO Lab through a paper, ink and acid visual identity. Its opening asks that work become clearer, real and worth carrying forward.

Origin / After Hours is an existing creative study in that edition. The artwork here is inherited from its retained poster, not newly generated to illustrate this week. Its presence matters because the record includes a creative practice as well as operational repair. The source, authorship and history of an object should travel with its next use.

October 3 adds a source-backed reading edition to that continuing record. It gives the work a date, makes the

evidence readable, and lets the reader carry the result without a connection. This is an editorial candidate prepared for Phil's review; building the files does not publish the site or ratify its copy.



Origin / After Hours. Existing Studio artwork retained from Edition 004; not newly produced this week. Source S17.

## 10 / WHAT THE WEEK ASKS OF THE NEXT ONE

# Make the handoff ordinary.

The next useful milestone is a complete operation that asks less of the person currently connecting every part.

Sources: [S15](#) · [S19](#)

The conductor's retained operating target is specific: staff prepare, the pastor or owner approves the exact edition, and the operator receives and runs that service on the field station. Phil should not need to assemble the program, relay the files or reconstruct the handoffs. That is a target in the record, not a readiness claim.

A convincing demonstration would follow one approved service through that whole path. The operator receives the right version, knows how to start it, advances and clears the output, and has a practiced fallback. Someone observes the actual sanctuary and downstairs displays. A signed-out remote viewer checks the destination and audio. The resulting receipts return to the same service record.

The organizational equivalent is just as concrete. A named liaison accepts family communication. Building and media responsibilities have acknowledged owners, arrival times and backups. A late arrival triggers an understood escalation. Disagreement over a requested item reaches someone with the authority to decide. The ledger records what actually required intervention.

The week supports a direction, not a measured return on investment. We did not establish hours saved, an institution-wide reduction in interruptions, or autonomous throughput. Those are worth measuring next: how often Phil has to restate a decision, move a file, repair a handoff, or take over an unclaimed task. A fall in those interventions would tell us more than another pile of isolated outputs.

There is real work to carry forward here. Let its successes keep their evidence and its limits keep their names. The point of the next handoff is that the next person can use what already exists, with enough clarity to continue.

*Your absence should be something the operation is prepared for.*

## METHOD / WHAT THE RECORD CAN SUPPORT

# Read the evidence with its limits.

The review reads 18 retained local files and one supplied conversation account. Files are bound to SHA-256 hashes in the source register. Historical tests were read, not rerun.

Counts refer to their own run, source and scope. Test passes, subtests, files, artifacts and service entries are different units. No combined readiness percentage or grand total is implied.

Hosted checks retain their original observation times. No fresh production health check or live-family usability test was performed for this edition.

The prose distinguishes receipt-backed findings, attributed field accounts and editorial interpretation. Private family content, credentials and raw transcripts are excluded.

The inaccessible broad-convergence confirmation file was not used to substantiate a pass claim. The edition relies on the accessible native and ee950 terminal records instead.

Source capture: 2026-10-03T19:43:21.316374+00:00. Full hashes and human-readable source notes follow. Private original paths are held in the editing package.

## THE SOURCE REGISTER

# A trail you can follow offline.

## S01 / Edition 004 and After Moisson

2026-09-27 field note; retained local Edition 004 source

Existing editorial source; firsthand observations attributed to Phil, not independent field measurements.

page.tsx

SHA-256

bc53e99895ca16657225cc8296445b2d

0876b007a319081a07031158f5501fa6

## S02 / Family portal continuity

2026-10-01

Local candidate walkthrough and limits; zero modeled Phil interventions is synthetic, not a real-family result.

PORTAL\_CONTINUITY\_20261001.md

SHA-256

65f4a01998386496c85ceb20eeba64d2

52327c0dc9ca5009085f87fd0a440f71

## S03 / Memorial regression log

2026-10-01

107 passed; 1 skipped; 33 subtests. Historical run read today, not rerun.

portal-offline-tests-final-20261001.log

SHA-256

271b1a22839bf51eef97fea81ffb59c8

bd88727f50d25ecd22cd133b9b6df76f

## S04 / Conversation focused log

2026-10-01

7 focused tests passed; do not sum this with S03 as unique coverage.

portal-final-focused-20261001.log

SHA-256

3c2909701dbee311d508c2256d4760ed

5570cb5f00db98b596c3fc6fd7372df0

## S05 / Backup and restore receipt

2026-10-01

4 cases, 65 files, integrity ok, offsite readback verified. Backup authorization is destination-specific.

hosted-continuity-20261001.json

SHA-256

e89626d6a80fdf2253be05b139e90c7a

b83cbba76dda2c15a70c5d68b9afab6e

## S06 / Native rehearsal scoped receipt

2026-10-03

Actual executable, synthetic SELECT / ADVANCE / CLEAR. 39 evidence artifacts; 10 commands exit 0. Not installed or field accepted.

SCOPED\_RECEIPT.json

SHA-256

6d5b0f7955d7573e5db356a5d1ec1c69

a813736a5c37f6b18ee2e1031e452404

## S07 / Native rehearsal handoff

2026-10-03

Third run passed. First timeout and second overlapping-writer failure retained separately.

HANDOFF.md

SHA-256

75cb4fedc3d6197117e931b9656e85f0

bc429c78583c1656a01c774352ec2167

## S08 / Anonymous public-page readback

2026-10-03T12:36:51Z

17 service entries, anonymous access, PDF hash check, no mobile overflow. Family content deliberately excluded from this edition.

readback.json

SHA-256

8d0f3d7553697bf13d164d3dff929038

78994b244fad92744f67454d235500fe

## S09 / Watch-link readback

2026-10-03T12:53:10Z

Watch link present; original PDF unchanged; playback not verified; lyrics pending.

watch-update-readback.json

SHA-256

c6bb55aa2c3ecb6f1ae39813bcf7901d

74bf583b68d2bfaf0916a98fabac4297

## S10 / Hosted lyrics readback

2026-10-03T13:48:22Z

FAIL: all\_stanzas\_visible false. This is a dated observation, not a claim about future live status.

lyrics-hosted-readback.json

SHA-256

f1e89872961d5d1cbb8a2c9eb69924de

843ea0c565a20bc4831d62b26d80896c

## S11 / Exact-source field-delivery gate

2026-10-03

ee950c74 full\_green false, main exit 1, source unchanged; ec3 predecessor green; results do not transfer between candidates.

RESULT.json

SHA-256

9bafe4f67e171e82e74402601a9d2bfb

99fa6a54d963a8272d3f75fd1c00c5d3

## S12 / Main-suite terminal log

2026-10-03

3589 passed, 1 failed, 1 error, 95 skipped, 1 xfailed, 501 subtests, 3364.57 seconds.

main.log

SHA-256

6f77d3a08e10b22de167137bafc9aeb5

b93fa40a6b81f25d689b5b73bc5f04eb

## S13 / Failure trace note

2026-10-03

Two Windows directory-rename permission errors;  
source-versus-environment cause unclassified.

FAILURE\_TRACE\_NOTE.md

SHA-256

99e0b5d25d0efa1528f5a82be754a136

3775f54e9903abc730c06a0a4d3586fd

## S14 / Full-gate handoff

2026-10-03

Packaging, import-closure and 9 folded tests passed; aggregate  
gate failed.

HANDOFF\_CURRENT.md

SHA-256

d15002f484438aedd4b7b7968abebc22

5bcf49c3db951996e6cc6e83f5d3776d

## S15 / Conductor entry page

Read on 2026-10-03; contains multiple dated updates

Current operating target and explicit remaining physical-service dependencies. Entry-page prose is not proof of all assertions.

START-HERE.md

SHA-256

c679bbe36e7a7395564baed113f556c6

6d904c43b393f7235819a6e9b1af82dd

## S16 / Generated current-state projection

Generated 2026-10-03T07:08:11Z

Earlier snapshot; explicitly can become stale. Later terminal evidence governs the specific run.

CHURCHOS\_CURRENT\_STATE.md

SHA-256

6aae1ce1324d439fcb2ca39791e8a46a

794425ebd9a437ad6d6e2c2391b0e6a1

## S17 / Inherited creative study

Inherited asset; not newly created this week

Origin / After Hours film poster reused from existing Edition 004.

film-poster.jpg

SHA-256

69ec513671d358e0777cd5a6ab26cc8b  
d02641b9a74975879b2890fec1285c01

## S18 / Native projector capture

2026-10-03

Preserved synthetic screen capture; not a photograph of a sanctuary or physical output.

advance-projector.png

SHA-256

e0d7bb3bff6d7a30d30479e1b9319f80  
89a7f7e160b0626f8994eec7409f9971

## S19 / October 3 conversation with Phil

2026-10-03

User-supplied account of early family requests, operational gaps and song disagreement; Dot experience is personal experience. No independent witness verification, measured labor hours, or blame attribution.

User account in this conversation

Supplied account; no independent incident verification.

KEEP MOVING FORWARD

# Your absence should be something the operation is prepared for.

The next handoff should let someone use what already exists, with enough clarity to continue.

The Creative Origin / Edition 004

October 3, 2026

Editorial preparation for Phil.

A review copy, ready to carry.